

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/750,551	12/28/2000	Shixin Chen	15939-17	6964
75	90 03/19/2002			
OPPENHEIMER WOLFF & DONNELLY LLP Suite 3800 2029 Century Park East			EXAMINER	
			LE, DANG D	
Los Angeles, CA 90067-3028			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2834	
			DATE MAILED: 03/19/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		A salta Alan Ma	Applicant(a)			
,	•	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		09/750,551	CHEN ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Dang D Le	2834			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM						
<ul> <li>THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.</li> <li>Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.</li> <li>If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.</li> <li>If NO period for reply is expecified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.</li> <li>Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).</li> <li>Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).</li> <li>Status</li> </ul>						
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u> </u>				
2a)□	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ Th	is action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
<i>,</i> —	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-23</u> is/are pending in the application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-23</u> is/are rejected.						
,	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
	ion Papers The energification is objected to by the Evernine	r				
9)  The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10)  The drawing(s) filed on <u>28 December 2000</u> is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
10)[2]						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:						
,	1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
<ul> <li>a)  The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.</li> <li>15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.</li> </ul>						
Attachment(s)						
1) Noti 2) Noti	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 2	5) Notice of Inform	nary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) nal Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 09/750,551

Art Unit: 2834

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### **Drawings**

1. The drawings are objected to because Figure 1 shows reference numerals 51 and 52 to identify the coil and the core respectively but these numbers are not consistent with the description in page 7, last paragraph. Switching the lead lines or the positions of 51 and 52 will solve the problem. A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

#### Claim Objections

- 2. Claim 23 objected to because of the following informalities:
  - Claim 23, last line, delete "]" at the end. Appropriate correction is required.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
  The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 4. Claims 19-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

There is insufficient antecedent basis for the following limitations in the claims.

Claim 19 recites the limitations "said liquid fluid" and "said clearance gap" in line 2.

Claim 20 recites the limitation "said shaft" in line 3. Other claims are dependent claims.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

Art Unit: 2834

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 6. Claims 16-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kataoka et al.

Regarding claim 16, Kataoka et al. show an electric spindle motor (Figure 1), comprising:

- A base (2);
- A rotatable member (6) supported by said base and extending therefrom along a rotational axis; and
- A magnetic device (41) for moving said rotating member away from said base substantially along said rotational axis so that said rotatable member is less supported by said base during rotation of said rotatable member.

Regarding claim 17, it is noted that Kataoka et al. also show said magnetic device (41) comprising a stator lamination (42b) with coil (42a) securely coupled to said base and a magnetic plate (43) securely coupled to said rotatable member (Figure 2b).

Regarding claim 18, it is noted that Kataoka et al. also show said magnetic device comprising a stator lamination with coil securely coupled to said rotatable member and a magnetic plate securely coupled to said base (Figure 2a).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 09/750,551

Art Unit: 2834

7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 8. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 9. Claims 1-6, 8-15 and 19-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kataoka et al. in view of Stahl et al.

Regarding claim 1, Kataoka et al. show an electric spindle motor (Figure 1), comprising:

- A base plate (2);
- A rotatable assembly (6) including a rotatable sleeve extending substantially perpendicular from said base plate along a rotational axis, wherein said rotatable assembly is supported by said base plate;

Art Unit: 2834

- A stationary shaft (3) securely coupled to said base plate, and extending within said sleeve along said rotational axis and spaced therefrom to define a first clearance gap (8);
- A thrust plate (4) securely coupled to said base plate (2);
- A thrust bearing (9) securely coupled to said rotating assembly, wherein said thrust bearing is shaped complementary with said thrust plate and spaced apart therefrom to form a second clearance gap (on C2, Figure 7);
- A gas fluid situated within said second clearance gap for providing at least axial stiffness for said rotatable assembly;
- A stator (11) for causing the rotation of said rotatable assembly; and
- A magnetic device (41) for moving said rotatable assembly away from said base plate substantially along said rotational axis so that said rotatable assembly is less supported by said base plate during rotation of said rotating assembly.

Kataoka et al. do not show a liquid fluid situated within said first clearance gap for providing at least radial stiffness for said rotatable sleeve.

Stahl et al. show a liquid fluid (26) situated within said first clearance gap for providing at least radial stiffness for said rotatable sleeve for the purpose of increasing stiffness of the bearing.

It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to situate a liquid fluid within said first clearance gap for

Art Unit: 2834

providing at least radial stiffness for said rotatable sleeve as taught by Stahl for the purpose discussed above.

Regarding claim 2, it is noted that Kataoka et al. also show said magnetic device (41) comprising a stator lamination (42b) with coil (42a) securely coupled to said base and a magnetic plate (43) securely coupled to said rotatable assembly (Figure 2b).

Regarding claim 3, it is noted that Kataoka et al. also show said magnetic device comprising a stator lamination with coil securely coupled to said rotatable assembly and a magnetic plate securely coupled to said base (Figure 2a).

Regarding claim 4, it is noted that Stahl et al. also show at least one magnetic seal (10) to reduce leaking of said liquid fluid from said first clearance gap wherein said at least one magnetic seal comprises upper and lower magnetic seals to reduce leaking of said liquid fluid between an upper end of said sleeve and said shaft, and a lower end of said sleeve and said shaft (top and bottom, Figure 3).

Regarding claim 5, it is noted that Stahl et al. also show said magnetic seal (10, Figure 1) comprising a sealing ring (18) disposed proximate an end of said sleeve and in contact with a perimeter surface of said shaft (20), wherein a ferrofluid gap (30) exist between said sealing ring and said shaft to receive a ferrofluid (26), and a magnetic structure (12) disposed proximate said sealing ring to hold said ferrofluid within said ferrofluid gap, wherein said magnetic structure includes a magnetic ring situated around said sealing ring.

Regarding claim 6, it is noted that Stahl et al. also show said ferrofluid receiving gap (30) being formed by a recess in the sealing ring, and wherein said ferrofluid

Art Unit: 2834

gap is formed between a wedge shaped inner surface of said sealing ring and said shaft.

Regarding claim 8, it is noted that Stahl et al. also show said magnetic seal providing an electrical path for discharging static charges from a surface of disk disposed on said rotatable assembly.

Regarding claim 9, Kataoka et al. show an electric spindle motor (Figure 1), comprising:

- A base plate (2);
- A sleeve (6) extending substantially perpendicular from said base plate along a rotational axis;
- A shaft (3) extending within said sleeve along said rotational axis and spaced therefrom to define a clearance gap (8).

Kataoka et al. do not show a liquid fluid situated within said clearance gap for providing at least radial stiffness for said sleeve and at least one magnetic seal to reduce leaking of said liquid fluid from said clearance gap.

Stahl et al. show a liquid fluid (26) situated within said clearance gap for providing at least radial stiffness for said sleeve and at least one magnetic seal (10, Figure 1) to reduce leaking of said liquid fluid from said clearance gap for the purpose of increasing stiffness of the bearing and retaining fluid in place.

It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to situate a liquid fluid within said clearance gap for providing at least radial stiffness for said sleeve and to use at least one magnetic seal to

Art Unit: 2834

reduce leaking of said liquid fluid from said clearance gap as taught by Stahl for the purpose discussed above.

Regarding claim 10, it is noted that Stahl et al. also show said magnetic seal comprising a sealing ring (18) disposed proximate an end of said sleeve and in contact with a perimeter surface of said shaft, wherein a ferrofluid gap exist between said sealing ring and said shaft to receive a ferrofluid, and a magnetic structure (12) disposed proximate said sealing ring to hold said ferrofluid within said ferrofluid gap

Regarding claim 11, it is noted that Kataoka et al. also show a rotatable assembly supported by said base plate.

Regarding claim 12, it is noted that Kataoka et al. also show a magnetic device (41) for moving said rotatable assembly away from said base plate substantially along said rotational axis so that said rotating assembly is less supported by said base plate during rotation of said rotating assembly.

Regarding claim 13, it is noted that Kataoka et al. also show said magnetic device comprising a stator lamination with coil (42a) securely coupled to said base plate and a magnetic plate securely coupled to said rotatable assembly (Figure 2b).

Regarding claim 14, it is noted that Kataoka et al. also show said magnetic device comprising a stator lamination with coil securely coupled to said rotatable assembly and a magnetic plate securely coupled to said base plate (Figure 2a).

Regarding claim 15, it is noted that Stahl et al. also show said magnetic seal (10) providing an electrical path for discharging static charges from a surface of disk disposed on said rotatable assembly.

Art Unit: 2834

Regarding claim 19, Kataoka et al. show all of the limitations of the claimed invention except for at least one magnetic seal to reduce leaking of a liquid fluid from a clearance gap.

Stahl et al. show at least one magnetic seal (10, Figure 1) to reduce leaking of a liquid fluid from a clearance gap for the purpose of retaining the fluid in place.

Since Kataoka et al. and Stahl et al. are all from the same field of endeavor; the purpose disclosed by one inventor would have been recognized in the pertinent art of the others.

It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to use at least one magnetic seal to reduce leaking of a liquid fluid from a clearance gap as taught by Stahl for the purpose discussed above.

Regarding claim 20, it is noted that Stahl et al. also show said magnetic seal (10, Figure 1) comprising a sealing ring (18) disposed proximate an end of said sleeve and in contact with a perimeter surface of said shaft (20), wherein a ferrofluid gap (30) exist between said sealing ring and said shaft to receive a ferrofluid (26), and a magnetic structure (12) disposed proximate said sealing ring to hold said ferrofluid within said ferrofluid gap.

Regarding claim 21, it is noted that Stahl et al. also show said magnetic structure including a magnetic ring (12) situated around said sealing ring.

Regarding claim 22, it is noted that Stahl et al. also show said ferrofluid receiving gap (30) being formed by a recess in the sealing ring, and wherein said ferrofluid

Page 10

Application/Control Number: 09/750,551

Art Unit: 2834

gap is formed between a wedge shaped inner surface of said sealing ring and said shaft.

Regarding claim 23, it is noted that Stahl et al. also show said magnetic seal providing an electrical path for discharging static charges from a surface of disk disposed on said rotatable assembly.

10. Claim 7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kataoka et al. in view of Stahl et al. as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Takahashi.

Regarding claim 7, the electric spindle motor of Kataoka et al. modified by Stahl et al. includes all that is recited in the claimed invention except for upper and lower liquid absorbers situated proximate respective upper and lower magnetic seals for absorbing said liquid fluid if it leaks.

Takahashi shows upper liquid absorber (45) for absorbing the liquid fluid if it leaks for the purpose of preventing leaking.

Since Kataoka et al., Stahl et al. and Takahashi are all from the same field of endeavor; the purpose disclosed by one inventor would have been recognized in the pertinent art of the others.

It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to situate upper and lower liquid absorbers proximate respective upper and lower magnetic seals for absorbing said liquid fluid if it leaks as taught by Takahashi for the purpose discussed above.

Information on How to Contact USPTO

Art Unit: 2834

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dang D Le whose telephone number is (703) 305-0156. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nestor Ramirez can be reached on (703) 308-1371. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 305-3431 for regular communications and (703) 305-3431 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1782.

denz d.

DDL March 15, 2002

Î